

4. 11

1) Clarification of fractionation limits - we have recommended to Pres. That we be authorized to pin down this issue if record is not clear

2) Encryption

There will be another letter from Pres. + an oral note. In addition Pres. will personally discuss with Pres. Brezhnev.

3) Commitment to protocol not being

a) precedent for Salt III -

b) - there will be a Congressional reservation -

c) - Pres. will state at time of signing

4) Non-circumvention provision satisfactory to military leaders of allies -

- We have consulted extensively w/ allies.

- Made clear pattern of U.S. - allied nuclear coop<sup>n</sup> will not be interfered w/.

- We told Soviets this - & H.B. has stated so in public speeches

- We have told allies in NATO

High level group that we are prepared to deploy new long-range theatre system if alliance agrees we should do so.

Alliance military & civilian leaders would be far more concerned if treaty is defeated - what they are really looking at will be force decision we make

5) Concern about how weight discrepancy

(2)

Our military have never recommended that we build anything comparable SS-18  
About 8 months ago we had a crisis to permit heavy nuclear & JCS recommended against -

We will go forward with MX and JCS has not recomed that MX be increased in size - & have more throw weight than it does -

Perceptions are what we say about these issues - what you say will have more effect on perceptions than any one else

CP avoid  
400  
300 feet

6) Concern that Backfire not counted  
& that assurances are weak.

iii Gray area weapon - tried to include  
Soviet said they had  
trade non-inclusion of FB's for Backfire -  
If we count they will count FB's - (FB-111 -100-09)  
We have the most important  
assurances - production number  
JCS didn't want full range  
of assurances - wanted "count or counter"

7) Conviction that strategic advantage  
is shifting away from U.S. commitment  
to new systems.

We should  
make clear  
very soon we  
are following systems  
have  
doubt  
about HPS -

- We should develop & deploy MX missile -
  - We should go ahead with Trident I & II
  - We should go ahead with <sup>check in</sup> HCB.
  - We should go ahead with QLCB & SLCB program - as we develop alternatives
- for them etc -  
- We must modernize each leg of Triad  
all so our key programs should go forward